Asia Pacific Solar Research Conference 2023

Investigating the impact of electric hot water load control on low-voltage distribution networks



Research Team:

Sparkle Prentice (Presenter) Dr. Dean Clift Dr. Toufik Rahman Prof. Gary Rosengarten Dr. Kazi Hasan



December 2023

Introduction

Share of global electricity capacity additions by technology



Source: BloombergNEF

Note: Excludes retirements. "Other - fossil" accounts for plants that use more than one fuel or fuels other than coal, oil, gas, hydro and nuclear.

BloombergNEF

- Climate change awareness and impacts \rightarrow ٠ diversification of power generation sources
- Increase in RE, Transport electrification and ٠ electrification of other services intensify the loads on electrical networks
- NSPs invest in added grid reinforcement due to ٠ voltage/frequency impacts associated with increased loads and RE gen.

Introduction



No.	Strategy	Benefits	Limitations	Ref.	No). St	strategy	Benefits	Limitations	Ref.
1.	Batt. Energy Storage System (BESS)	Reliability	High upfront capital	[1]	1.	E Ve	ilectric /ehicles/V2G	Peak load shaving	Further studies on eval. Methods needed	[3-4]
2.	Spinning Reserve Capacity	Reliability	High upfront capital; Ramp rates; Fuel costs	[2]	2.	H P H	Heat Pumps/Water Heating	Elect. Storage; low. consumer costs	Thermo- electrical sims; Real-time comms	[5-7]
3.	Active Power Curtailment	Reliability	High upfront capital	[2]						

Table 1. Conventional Strategies

Table 2. Demand Response Strategies

[1] R. Sinha, B. B. Jensen and J. Radhakrishnan Pillai, "Impact Assessment of Electric Boilers in Low Voltage Distribution Network," 2018 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting (PESGM), Portland, OR, USA, 2018, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/PESGM.2018.8586236

[2] T. Chen, Y. Zheng, B. Chaudhuri and S. Y. R. Hui, "Distributed Electric Spring Based Smart Thermal Loads for Overvoltage Prevention in LV Distributed Network Using Dynamic Consensus Approach", IEEE Transactions on sustainable energy, 2020, Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp.2098-2108, DOI: 10.1109/TSTE.2019.2950421

[3] Swinson, V., J. Hamer, and S. Humphries, "Taking demand management into the future: Managing flexible loads on the electricity network using smart appliances and controlled loads", *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 2015, Vol. 48, pp. 192-203.

[4] Clift, D.H., et al., "Assessment of advanced demand response value streams for water heaters in renewable-rich electricity markets", *Energy*, 2023. Vol. 267, pp. 126577

5] Navarro-Espinosa, A. and P. Mancarella, "Probabilistic modeling and assessment of the impact of electric heat pumps on low voltage distribution networks", Applied Energy, 2014, Vol.127, pp. 249-266

[6] Angelim, J.H. and C. de M. Affonso, "Probabilistic Impact Assessment of Electric Vehicles Charging on Low Voltage Distribution Systems", *IEEE*, pp.1-6

[7] Clift, D.H. and H. Suehrcke, "Control optimization of PV powered electric storage and heat pump water heaters", *Solar Energy*, 2021, Vol. 226, pp. 489-500

Motivation



- Most studies focus on EV impacts to network and heat pumps.
- Despite approx. 25% of domestic loads owed to hot water, limited studies on impact of EWH on low-voltage networks.
- Current EWH is limited to applying conventional demand-response strategies and do not consider load control operating sympathetically with network

Objectives



Aim: To investigate and compare the impacts of gas hot water vs electrified hot water systems on low voltage distribution networks

- Continuation of previous studies that investigated the consumer benefits of using smart load control strategies on thermally stratified electric water heaters
- Focus on next stage to assess impact of EWH load control on voltage profiles of low voltage distribution networks



81 Properties with controlled loads









Monthly Critical Bus Voltage Comparison for Two Scenarios



Fig. 1 Monthly critical bus voltage profile for Baseline (Gas Hot Water) Scenario

- No voltage violation limit but **June and July most at risk**.
- Wide interquartile ranges esp. during winter/summer.
- Neg. skewed voltage distribution showing dangerously low voltage levels overall even before hot water electrification

Fig. 2 Monthly critical bus voltage profile for Electrified Hot Water Scenario

- Even lower critical bus voltage profiles than Base case with wider interquartile ranges.
- Jun Sept., and Dec. Jan. show median values that violate voltage limit. Feb & May show medians just above 0.94 p.u. limit.





Fig. 3 Average electric hot water consumption from 81 SAPN households

- Average hot water electrical consumption extracted from SAPN residential data for the 81 households.
 Fig. 3 shows significant increases in elect. Hot water consumption as winter approaches.
- Critical bus voltage profile comparison between the 2 scenarios demonstrate seasonal impact on water heating loads, mainly driven by lower temp. of cold water during cooler seasons.
- Expected that larger thermal load in winter will exacerbate the seasonal voltage excursions on the critical bus → demonstrated in the electrified hot water scenario.



Hourly Critical Bus Voltage Comparison for Two Scenarios

11



Fig. 4 Hourly critical bus voltage profile for Baseline (Gas Hot Water) Scenario

- Baseline shows decreases in voltage from late afternoon to early evening → peak network loads and lack of RE gen. during this period.
- Voltages below operating voltage limit 1 time daily.
- Neg. skewed voltage distributions.



Fig. 5 Hourly critical bus voltage profile for Electrified Hot Water Scenario

- Elect.hot water scenario shows decreases in voltage from **midnight**.
- Voltages below operating voltage limit **13 times** daily.
- Neg. skewed voltage distributions,
- Wider dispersal of values at midnight for several hours → active control measures at this time.

Results & Discussion Cont'd



- 17% of year on the verge of violating acceptable voltage limits in baseline scenario vs 50% of year voltage is at or below acceptable limits for elect. hot water scenario.
- Peak reductions in voltage shown in EHW case as water heaters operate concurrently for all houses, despite active hot water load control strategy.

Conclusion



Difference between results of 2 scenarios shows:

- →potential for negative impacts of **excessive or uncontrolled electrification**
- \rightarrow limitations of conventional control strategies
- →need for better/more efficient load control strategies such as intelligent or smart controls, especially as efforts to shift to hot water electrification

Exploring most effective water heating load control strategies could:

- Exploit inherent thermal energy storage within water heaters to separate time of electrical energy consumption and times of thermal delivery
- Optimise thermal energy storage benefits to minimise voltage excursions

Next Steps



Explore using the same network:

- Impact of distributed solar PV
- Use of different control strategies and their effect on voltage and grid performance
- Intelligent schedule of water heaters that consider the urgency to heat, network conditions and rooftop PV generation for enhanced low-voltage network stability

THANK YOU!

Sparkle Prentice PhD Candidate School of Engineering, STEM College RMIT University Email: s3306254@student.rmit.edu.au



